Ab initio calculation of finite-temperature charmonium potentials
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The interquark potential in charmonium states is calculated in both the zero and nonzero temperature phases from a first-principles lattice QCD calculation. Simulations with two dynamical quark flavors are used with temperatures $T$ in the range $0.4T_c \leq T \leq 1.7T_c$, where $T_c$ is the deconfining temperature. The correlators of point-split operators are analyzed to gain spatial information about the charmonium states. A method introduced by the HAL QCD Collaboration and based on the Schrödinger equation is applied to obtain the interquark potential. We find a clear temperature dependence with the central potential agreeing with the Cornell potential in the confined phase and becoming flatter (more screened) as the temperature increases past the deconfining temperature. This is the first time the interquark potential has been calculated for realistic quarks at finite temperature.

I. INTRODUCTION

The quark-gluon plasma (QGP) phase of QCD has been studied extensively both in heavy-ion collision experiments at RHIC [1,2] and the LHC [3] as well as in theoretical calculations. However, a complete understanding of this phase is still some distance away. Experiments are hindered by uncertainties in the phenomenology of the QGP, such as the equation of state, transport properties, and spectral features of hadrons. These quantities are required to model the QGP fireball in heavy-ion collisions as it expands and cools back into the hadronic phase in order that the events in the detectors can be properly interpreted.

One of the quantities of interest is the interquark potential in the QGP phase. A temperature dependent charmonium potential underlies the widely cited $J/\psi$ suppression model of [4]. More recent work on statistical models of charmonium production [5,6] and studies assuming transport models of charmonium production [7,8] lead to alternative interpretations. An analogous suppression has recently been found in bottomonium yields in heavy-ion collisions [9,10].

Theoretical work on the interquark potential at high temperature includes early models [11] and perturbative QCD calculations [12]. Furthermore, there have been some recent nonperturbative (i.e. lattice) QCD studies of interquark potentials which are relevant to the work presented here. These fall into two categories: (i) nonzero temperature studies of the static quark potential [13–17] and (ii) zero temperature studies of the potential between quarks with finite masses [18]. The work presented here is a study of the interquark potential of charmonium using physical charm quark masses at finite temperature, and it uses two flavors of light dynamical quark. A particular feature of our work is that our lattices are anisotropic, which has the significant advantage that our correlation functions are determined at a large number of temporal points, hence aiding our analysis.

The method we use is based on the HAL QCD Collaboration’s calculation of the internucleon potential relevant for nuclear physics that utilizes the Schrödinger equation [19]. In this work we use their “time-dependent” method [20] to determine the real part of the interquark charmonium potential. In our work we do not consider the width of the state and therefore have access to the real part of the potential only. The possible limitations of the underlying assumption, that a nonrelativistic potential description is valid for these temperatures and quark masses, is a separate issue which will not be discussed here.

Our main conclusion is that the charmonium potential as a function of distance is steepest for low temperatures, $T$, and becomes flatter at large distances as $T$ increases. This work extends our earlier work in [21].

II. TIME-DEPENDENT SCHRÖDINGER EQUATION APPROACH

Following HAL QCD, we determine the potential using their time-dependent method [20]. The first step is to define charmonium point-split operators,

$$J_I(x; r) = q(x)\Gamma U(x, x + r)\tilde{q}(x + r),$$

where $r$ is the displacement [32] between the charm and anticharm quark fields $q$ and $\tilde{q}$, $x$ is the space-time point $(x, \tau)$, and $\Gamma$ is a Dirac matrix used to generate vector ($J/\psi$) or pseudoscalar ($\eta_c$) channels. $U(x, x + r)$ is the gauge connection between $x$ and $x + r$. The correlation functions,

$$C_I(r, \tau) = \sum_x \langle J_I(x; r; \tau) J_I^\dagger(0; 0) \rangle,$$

Euclidean space-time is of the Hamiltonian, is universal. 

where \( \mu \) is the reduced mass of the \( cc \) system, \( \mu = \frac{1}{\mu} \). Ignoring the backward moving contribution (we will discuss this in Sec. IV), we obtain

This can be trivially solved for the potential \( V_T(r) \).

Notice that the NBS wave functions, \( \psi_j(r) \), are not explicitly required in the above derivation of \( V(r) \). However, we note that HAL QCD’s original “wave function” method extracts the ground state wave function, \( \psi_0(r) \), from a fit to the large time behavior of the correlation function, \( C(r, \tau) \rightarrow \psi_0(0) \psi_0(r) e^{-E_0 \tau} \), and then uses this \( \psi_0(r) \) as input into the Schrödinger equation to obtain the potential [18]. HAL QCD’s time-dependent method applied here has the distinct advantage that the correlation functions are used directly, without requiring a fit to the asymptotic state.

The S-wave potential can be expressed as

where \( V_C \) is the spin-independent (or “central”) potential, \( V_S \) is the spin-dependent potential, and \( s_1 \cdot s_2 \) are the spins of the quarks. We have \( s_1 \cdot s_2 = -3/4, 1/4 \) for the pseudoscalar and vector channels, respectively.

### III. LATTICE PARAMETERS AND CORRELATORS

We performed lattice calculations of QCD with two dynamical flavors of light quark using a Wilson-type action with anisotropy of \( \xi = a_s/a_\tau = 6 \), \( a_s = 0.162 \) fm with temporal and spatial lattice spacings of \( a_\tau^{-1} = 7.35 \) GeV [22,23]. The other lattice parameters are listed in Table I. We note that the range of temperatures is from the confined phase up to \( \sim 1.7T_c \), where \( T_c \) is the deconfining transition. The charm quark is simulated with the (anisotropic) clover action, and its mass is set by matching the experimental \( \eta_c \) mass at zero temperature.

### IV. RESULTS

We applied Eq. (5) to obtain the potential, \( V_T(r) \), for the temperatures listed in Table I for the vector and pseudoscalar channels separately. In Eq. (5), standard symmetric lattice finite differences are used for the spatial and temporal derivatives. Figure 1 shows the central potential obtained for \( T/T_c = 0.42 \) and 1.68 as a function of the time, \( r \), appearing in Eq. (5). For each \( r \) value in Fig. 1, we have vertically shifted the data points so that \( V_C(r/a_\tau = 1) = 0 \).

As can be seen, there is a good plateau where the potential \( V_C(r) \) is stable. The lack of a plateau at small times is presumably due to lattice artifacts caused by contact terms at the source. The upward trend of data points at large times and high temperature corresponds to time values close to the center of the lattice which are contaminated by backward moving states. We have confirmed this interpretation by successfully modeling the effects of these backward moving states.

The central values for the potentials are obtained from \( \tau = 6, 7, 7, 7 \) and 24 for \( T/T_c = 20, 24, 28, 32 \) and 80, respectively. The resulting \( V_C \) and \( V_S \) are shown in Figs. 2 and 3. The left-hand error bars are statistical, and the systematic uncertainty of choosing different values of \( \tau \) to define the potentials is depicted in the right-hand error bars. In Fig. 2 we include the Cornell potential, \( V(r) = -\frac{\xi}{r} + V_0 \) with \( \kappa = 0.52 \) and \( a = 2.34 \) GeV\(^{-1} \) [24], for reference.

Figure 2 is our main result. We see a clear temperature dependence, and in the confined phase, \( T \sim 0.42T_c \), we see

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( N_s )</th>
<th>( N_\tau )</th>
<th>( T/)MeV ( T/T_c )</th>
<th>( N_{c_{\text{cfg}}} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>1.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>263</td>
<td>1.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>306</td>
<td>1.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>368</td>
<td>1.68</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
evidence of a linearly rising potential in agreement with the Cornell potential. As the temperature increases beyond \(T_c\), the potential flattens for large distances, in agreement with expectations of a deconfined phase. The spin-dependent potential is plotted in Fig. 3 and shows a repulsive core. We plan to improve this calculation by considering relativistic corrections to Eq. (4).

We now compare our results with those using static quarks. There are two general approaches to extract the interquark potential between static (infinitely heavy) quarks, both of which have limitations. The first calculates the free energy of a static quark pair as a function of their separation via various correlators of Polyakov loops \([13–15]\). However, the “singlet” channel potentials thus derived are gauge dependent \([15,25]\). Also, it has been argued that the internal energy, or a combination of the free and internal energy, would be a more appropriate definition of the potential at nonzero temperature \([26]\). The second approach uses Wilson loops or correlators of Wilson lines \([15–17]\) and requires there to be good ground state potential is plotted in Fig. 3 and shows a repulsive core. We plan to improve this calculation by considering relativistic corrections to Eq. (4).

We now compare our results with those using static quarks. There are two general approaches to extract the interquark potential between static (infinitely heavy) quarks, both of which have limitations. The first calculates the free energy of a static quark pair as a function of their separation via various correlators of Polyakov loops \([13–15]\). However, the “singlet” channel potentials thus derived are gauge dependent \([15,25]\). Also, it has been argued that the internal energy, or a combination of the free and internal energy, would be a more appropriate definition of the potential at nonzero temperature \([26]\). The second approach uses Wilson loops or correlators of Wilson lines \([15–17]\) and requires there to be good ground state
dominance. However, this creates tension because the temporal extent of the lattice, \( N_t \sim 1/T_c \), is necessarily small at high temperature. As a result, precision results are difficult to obtain.

The method discussed here is gauge invariant by construction and produces results with reasonable systematics. Furthermore, it calculates the potential between quarks with finite masses tuned to the physical charm. It does not, however, calculate the imaginary part of the potential, with finite masses tuned to the physical charm quark mass. This improves upon earlier lattice simulations performed in the static limit. It also represents the first \textit{ab initio} calculation of the charmonium potential of QCD at finite temperature. The work outlined here uses a lattice simulation of QCD with two light dynamical flavors on an anisotropic lattice. We determine the charmonium potential and a clear temperature-dependent flattening of the potential for \( T > T_c \). We demonstrate a significant deviation between our results and those obtained using static quarks via either the free energy or Wilson loops/lines.

This work adds to previous charmonium studies performed by our collaboration with the same lattice parameters [23,27] and our earlier work on the potential using the HAL QCD wave function method [21].

In forthcoming work we will simulate on significantly larger lattices with \( 2 + 1 \) light quark flavors. We also hope to extend our work to the potential between heavier quarks using the NRQCD approach [28–30].

V. CONCLUSIONS

There is a significant body of theoretical work studying the interquark potential at nonzero temperature using model, perturbative, and lattice (nonperturbative) approaches. The work outlined here uses a lattice simulation of QCD with two light dynamical flavors on an anisotropic lattice. We determine the charmonium potential at a variety of temperatures using relativistic quarks tuned to the physical charm quark mass. This improves upon earlier lattice simulations performed in the static limit. It thus represents the first \textit{ab initio} calculation of the charmonium potential of QCD at finite temperature.

The method we use is based on the HAL QCD “time-dependent” approach which obtains the real part of the potential from correlators of point-split operators [19]. This allows the extraction of the potential without the need to first define the NBS wave functions by fitting the large time behavior of the correlation functions. This is particularly significant in the nonzero temperature case studied here, where the temporal extent of the lattice is restricted.

Our determination of the potential shows a linearly rising potential for \( T < T_c \) in agreement with the Cornell potential, and a clear temperature-dependent flattening of the potential for \( T > T_c \). We demonstrate a significant deviation between our results and those obtained using static quarks via either the free energy or Wilson loops/lines.
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