Complexation study and spectrofluorometric determination of the binding constant for diquat and p-sulfonatocalix[4]arene
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1. Introduction

Calix[n]arenes are macrocyclic compounds synthesised from the condensation of phenol with formaldehyde. p-Sulfonatocalix[n]arenes (Fig. 1(a), p-sulfonatocalix[4]arene, C4S) are an important class of calix[n]arene, which are water soluble and have the ability to bind with a wide range of metal cations, organic ammonium cations and neutral organic molecules. This diverse supramolecular chemistry has led to their application in several fields including pharmaceutical chemistry, catalysis and sensors. The ability of p-sulfonatocalix[n]arenes to bind with viologens was first reported by Kaifer et al. More recently, it has been shown that the strong electrostatic interaction of the cationic viologen with the anionic calix[n]arene can be enhanced by the π–π interactions between the host cavity and the guest molecule. Viologens are an important class of redox active compounds and the formation of supramolecular architectures, based on the complexation of p-sulfonatocalix[n]arenes with viologens, has been investigated in the literature. Moreover, viologens are commonly used as herbicides and are potentially fatal to human health. Interestingly, a number of recent studies have investigated the potential of employing the complexation of p-sulfonatocalix[4]arenes with viologens as a therapeutic agent for methylviologen poisoning. The likelihood of medicinal applications of p-sulfonatocalix[4]arenes has increased as preliminary studies would indicate that C4S has a low toxicity.

Diquat (DQ), Fig. 1(b), is a generally used herbicide, which is also toxic to humans. Work by Wang et al. have shown that DQ forms an inclusion complex with C4S. The complexation constants, determined using isothermal calorimetry, were $5.40 \times 10^5 \text{ M}^{-1}$ and $7.95 \times 10^3 \text{ M}^{-1}$ at pH 2.0 and 7.2, respectively. In addition, from the magnitude of the shift changes in the $^1\text{H}$ NMR signals of DQ upon complexation, it was reported that DQ binds with C4S in a sloping orientation. However, no study on the role and influence of ionic strength on the complexation was carried out. It is well recognised that host–guest complexation based on electrostatic interactions will decrease by increasing the ionic strength of the surrounding solution.

In this paper the influence of ionic strength on the interaction of C4S with diquat (1,1'-ethylene-2,2'-bipyridinium) is assessed. The thermodynamic constant ($K_c$) for the complexation of diquat by p-sulfonatocalix[4]arene was determined using the extended Debye–Hückel equation. In addition, the reduction of diquat to its...
radical cation was investigated in the presence and absence of the C4S. This study is important as it is known that the formation of the radical cation is an important intermediate in the biochemical mechanism of viologen toxicity.23

2. Results and discussion

2.1. Stoichiometry of the DQ-C4S complex in solutions of low and high ionic strength

The work by Wang et al.17 showed that the stoichiometry of the DQ-C4S complex was 1:1 at an ionic strength of 0.17 M at a pH of 7.2. In the present study, the stoichiometry between DQ and C4S (Fig. 1) was evaluated using the continuous variation method24 at two ionic strengths of $I = 4.24 \times 10^{-3}$ and $I = 0.30$ M. The ionic strength was maintained constant by the addition of Na$_2$SO$_4$. Fig. 2(a) and (b) shows the bell-shaped curves constructed from the data obtained using UV–vis spectroscopy. It is evident from both Fig. 2(a) and (b) that the maximum of each curve is centred at 0.5, indicating the formation of a 1:1 complex in both solutions, with the ionic strength having little or no influence on the stoichiometry of the complex.

2.2. $^1$H NMR investigation of the interaction of DQ with C4S

$^1$H NMR spectra were recorded at a fixed concentration of DQ ($4.86 \times 10^{-3}$ M) in a KCl solution ($I = 0.1$ M) of D$_2$O as a function of adding C4S up to a mole ratio of DQ/C4S of 1:5 and these data are presented in Fig. 3. As previously reported by Wang et al.,17 upon addition of the C4S there was a strikingly large upfield shift in the signals for the protons on the heterocyclic rings. For example, the signal for $H_e$ shifted from 8.87 to 6.88 ppm. On the basis of the observed changes in chemical shifts, Wang et al.17 proposed that the geometry of the DQ-C4S complex is as shown in Fig. 4. The partial inclusion is consistent with the observation that the free and bound DQ undergoes fast exchange on the NMR timescale as only one resonance signal was observed for each of the equivalent protons in all the spectra recorded. It is likely that these large shifts were predominantly due to the close proximity of the protons on the DQ molecule with the anionic sulfonate groups on the C4S. In addition, the interaction of the guest protons with the ring current of the aromatic nuclei of the host may also have contributed to the shift changes. A further striking observation from the spectra shown in Fig. 3 was the significant broadening of the proton signals.

![Fig. 2. Job’s Plot of DQ and C4S carried out using UV–vis spectroscopy at an ionic strength of (a) 4.24 $\times 10^{-3}$ M and (b) 0.30 M, which was maintained constant using Na$_2$SO$_4$. The pH for these solutions was 6.7.](image1)

![Fig. 3. $^1$H NMR spectra of 4.86 $\times 10^{-3}$ M DQ with the following equivalents of C4S: (1) 5.00, (2) 3.10, (3) 1.00, (4) 0.63, (5) 0.50, (6) 0.31 and (7) 0.00. All solutions were prepared in D$_2$O and the ionic strength of all solutions was maintained constant at 0.10 M using KCl. The pD of these solutions was 6.5. The titration was carried out at 25 °C. The peak at 7.40 ppm arises from the aromatic hydrogen atoms on the $p$-sulfanocalix[4]arene.](image2)
of DQ upon the addition of C4S below a mole ratio of 1:1. This broadening at such a low mole ratio is consistent with very strong binding between the guest and host. A similar broadening of the proton resonances has been observed on addition of cucurbit[7]uril to methylvioleogen in a 1:1 ratio and a binding constant of \(1.03 \times 10^3 \text{ M}^{-1}\) was determined for this complex.\(^2\) Moreover, at a mole ratio of 1:1 DQ/C4S and above the signals for the protons on the DQ sharpened indicating that as the binding constant for DQ-C4S is so high at these concentrations of C4S, on the NMR timescale, there was essentially no free DQ in solution.

The addition of C4S to DQ not only affected the \(^1\)H signals for DQ, but it also altered the signals recorded for the protons on the methylene bridges of the C4S. The \(^1\)H NMR spectrum of C4S in a solution of KCl (\(l=0.10 \text{ M}\)) in D_2O is shown in Fig. 5a and depicts the singlet signal, which is assigned to the hydrogen atoms on the methylene bridges. The hydrogen atoms of the methylene bridges were all equivalent on the NMR timescale as the calixarene is undergoing a fast conformational exchange between a number of conformers.\(^2\) However, a spectrum recorded for the DQ/C4S mixture in a mole ratio of 1:1 (Fig. 5b) clearly shows that the signal for the methylene protons has split into two. This indicates that when the DQ is complexed by the C4S, the C4S is held in the cone conformation in which the two hydrogen atoms on the methylene bridges are inequivalent.\(^2\)

The \(^1\)H NMR spectrum of DQ in the presence of (a) 0.00, (b) 1.00 equiv of DQ. The pH of these solutions was 6.5. The spectra were recorded at 25 °C.

**2.3. Evaluation of \(K_c\) using fluorescence spectroscopy**

As previously reported,\(^1\) DQ forms a complex with C4S in which the complexation constant, \(K_c\), was determined using isothermal calorimetry. As DQ is known to be weakly fluorescent at an excitation wavelength of 310 nm with an emission band between 320 and 500 nm,\(^2\) it is possible to determine \(K_c\) by monitoring the change of the DQ fluorescence intensity as a function of added C4S. Typical fluorescence spectra of DQ in the absence and presence of varying concentrations of C4S, to give an excess of C4S, are shown in Fig. 6. The solutions were held at a fixed ionic strength, \(I=0.15 \text{ M}\), using Na_2SO_4. As can be seen in Fig. 2, there was a sharp decrease in the fluorescence intensity of DQ upon the addition of increasing concentrations of C4S. Upon addition of a 3-fold excess of C4S with respect to a fixed concentration of DQ, the fluorescence intensity of DQ was essentially quenched, implying the formation of a strong complex. This is a somewhat unusual result, as generally, a fluorescence enhancement is recorded upon the addition of cationic aromatic molecules to uncharged macrocyclic hosts.\(^2\) The inclusion results in the guest experiencing a less polar environment, which reduces the number of nonradiative pathways available to deactivate the excited state.

**Fig. 6.** Fluorescence spectra of 1.00 \times 10^{-5} \text{ M} DQ in the presence of (1) 0.00, (2) 2.19 \times 10^{-5}, (3) 3.64 \times 10^{-5}, (4) 6.07 \times 10^{-5}, (5) 8.00 \times 10^{-5}, (6) 1.01 \times 10^{-4}, (7) 1.30 \times 10^{-4}, (8) 1.60 \times 10^{-4} and (9) 2.81 \times 10^{-4} M C4S at a constant ionic strength, \(I=0.15 \text{ M}\) using Na_2SO_4 at 25 °C. The pH of these solutions was 6.7.

The equilibrium between the complex (DQ-C4S), guest (DQ) and host (C4S) molecules is shown in Eq. 1. To quantify the strength of the interaction between DQ and C4S, \(K_c\) is calculated in accordance with Eq. 2, where [DQ], [C4S] and [DQ-C4S] are the equilibrium concentrations.

\[
\text{C4S} + \text{DQ} \rightleftharpoons \text{DQ-C4S} \tag{1}
\]

\[
K_c = \frac{[\text{DQ-C4S}]}{[\text{DQ}][\text{C4S}]} \tag{2}
\]

As DQ was the fluorescent guest probe being monitored, the fluorescence intensity of DQ is the product of the molar fluorescence intensity (\(I_f\)) and the concentration of the DQ molecule as shown in Eq. 3. The fluorescence of DQ in the absence and presence of the host, C4S, is calculated in accordance with Eqs. 4 and 5, where \([\text{DQ}]_0\) is the initial concentration of the guest molecule and \(I_f^\text{free}\) and \(I_f^\text{complex}\) represents the molar fluorescence intensity of the free and complexed DQ, respectively. The change of fluorescence intensity, \(\Delta I_f\), is evaluated using Eq. 6, where \(\Delta I_f\) is the change in the molar fluorescence intensity.
$F = \varepsilon_F c_{DQ}$

$F_0 = \varepsilon_F [DQ]_0$ \quad (3)

$F = \varepsilon_F[DQ] + [DQ \cdot C4S] = \varepsilon_F [DQ]_0 + (\varepsilon_F' - \varepsilon_F) [DQ \cdot C4S]$ \quad (4)

$\Delta F = F - F_0 = (\varepsilon_F' - \varepsilon_F) [DQ \cdot C4S] = \alpha \varepsilon_F [DQ \cdot C4S]$ \quad (5)

The concentrations of the DQ and C4S are given by the difference between the initial DQ, $[DQ]_0$, and C4S, $[C4S]_0$, concentrations and the concentration of the DQ-C4S complex, as shown in Eqs. 7 and 8.

$[C4S] = [C4S]_0 - [DQ \cdot C4S]$ \quad (6)

$[DQ] = [DQ]_0 - [DQ \cdot C4S]$ \quad (7)

Considering the change in fluorescence intensity as a function of the DQ and C4S initial concentrations, Eqs. 2, 6–8 can be combined to give Eq. 9 and $\Delta F$ is calculated in accordance with Eq. 10.\textsuperscript{30,31}

$\Delta F^2 = \Delta \varepsilon_F \left( [C4S]_0 + [DQ]_0 + \frac{1}{K_c} \right) \Delta F + \Delta \varepsilon_F^2 [C4S]_0 [DQ]_0 = 0 \quad (9)$

$\Delta F = \sqrt{\Delta \varepsilon_F^2 \left( [C4S]_0 + [DQ]_0 + \frac{1}{K_c} \right)^2 - 4 \Delta \varepsilon_F^2 [C4S]_0 [DQ]_0} \quad (10)$

The influence of ionic strength on the magnitude of $K_c$ has been documented by Ong and Kaifer,\textsuperscript{32} in which it was reported that the choice of salt in maintaining a constant ionic strength also impacts on $K_c$. Although, p-sulfonatocalix[4]arenes have a high affinity for inorganic cations, in particular divalent and trivalent cations, monovalent cations show much weaker binding abilities.\textsuperscript{8} Hence, the salt, Na$_2$SO$_4$, was chosen to control the ionic strength of solutions.

Plots of the change in fluorescence intensity ($\Delta F$) as a function of the host, C4S, concentration were constructed and curves fitted in accordance with Eq. 10 to determine the $K_c$ values. Representative plots are shown in Fig. 7, while the computed $K_c$ is shown as a function of the ionic strength in Table 1. The good curve fitting as shown by the plots in Fig. 7 and the $R^2$ values given in Table 1 support the 1:1 stoichiometry of the DQ-C4S complex in all the solutions investigated. There was a considerable decrease in the magnitude of $K_c$ with increasing ionic strength (Table 1). Approximately a 100-fold decrease in the value of $I_{\alpha}$ was observed upon increasing the ionic strength of the solution from 0.03 M to 1.50 M. Previous studies by Wenz et al.\textsuperscript{33} on a cationic cyclodextrin with an anionic guest have shown that the electrostatic input of the binding free energy was affected by an increase in the ionic strength. Our findings, that $K_c$ decreased significantly with increasing ionic strength and that the fluorescence intensity of DQ was quenched upon forming a host–guest complex with the C4S are consistent with electrostatic interactions having a significant contribution to the binding of p-sulfonatocalix[4]arenes with cationic guests.

### 2.4. Determination of the thermodynamic complexation constant for the DQ-C4S complex

It is clearly evident from the data presented in Table 1 that the magnitude of $K_c$ is influenced by the ionic strength. Given that DQ and C4S are highly charged, it is more accurate to express $K_c$ in terms of the activity coefficients of the charged species and accordingly the thermodynamic complexation constant, $K_c'$ as shown in Eq. 11, where $\gamma$ represents the activities of the charged host and guest species. This equation can be expressed in a logarithmic form to give Eq. 12.\textsuperscript{22}

$K_c' = \frac{\gamma_{DQ} \cdot C4S}{\gamma_{DQ} \gamma_{C4S}} \quad (11)$

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ionic strength/M</th>
<th>$K_c'$ M$^{-1}$</th>
<th>$R^2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>2.84 $\times$ 10$^7$</td>
<td>0.996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>1.67 $\times$ 10$^7$</td>
<td>0.996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>5.00 $\times$ 10$^6$</td>
<td>0.991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>4.34 $\times$ 10$^5$</td>
<td>0.999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>1.94 $\times$ 10$^5$</td>
<td>0.997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>9.13 $\times$ 10$^4$</td>
<td>0.996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>2.72 $\times$ 10$^4$</td>
<td>0.998</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Values were the averages of three separate measurements, errors are <5% of the values reported, $\Delta I_{\alpha}$ was of the order of 1.0 $\times$ 10$^{-7}$ A.U. M$^{-1}$ for each solution studied.*
log $K_e' = \log K_c + \log \left( \frac{\gamma_{DQ \cdot C4S}}{\gamma_{DQ \cdot C4S}} \right)$ (12)

The activity coefficients may be obtained from the extended Debye–Hückel law (Eq. 13), in which $\Delta \gamma^2$ corresponds to the effective charge of the ions, $I$ is the ionic strength, $A$ is constant with a value of 0.51 at a temperature of 25°C and $Ba$ is assumed to be 2 for large organic ions. Using Eqs. 12 and 13, $K_e'$ can be expressed in terms of $K_e$ and the ionic strength of the solution to give Eq. 14.34,35

$\log \gamma_{\pm} = -\Delta \alpha^2 \sqrt{I} / (1 + Ba \sqrt{I})$ (13)

$\log K_e = \log K_e' - A \Delta \alpha^2 \sqrt{I} / (1 + Ba \sqrt{I})$ (14)

The $K_e$ values obtained from the fluorescence experiments combined with the corresponding ionic strength values (Table 1) were plotted in accordance with Eq. 14 generating a linear plot as shown in Fig. 8. The slope of the plot was determined to be 9.0, which corresponded well with the value of 10.2 calculated from Eq. 14 using the effective charge of the system. Surprisingly, given the large non-symmetrical size and uneven charge distribution of the DQ–C4S system, the data fit well to the extended Debye–Hückel law. However, previous studies have shown that the effect of ionic strength on the binding of cationic organic guests to anionic macrocycles can be correlated using the Debye–Hückel theory.32,34–36

The extrapolation of the plot in Fig. 8 gave a value for the thermodynamic complexation constant, $K_e'$, of 5.25 ± 1.11 × 10^6. This high value highlights the significant degree of complexation of DQ by C4S. This high value highlights the significant degree of complexation of DQ by C4S. In comparison, the binding constants of DQ with cucurbit[7]uril and cucurbit[8]uril in a 0.1 M phosphate buffer (pH 7) solution have previously been determined to have values of 350 and 4.8 × 10^3 M^-1, respectively.27

![Fig. 8. Debye–Hückel plot of log $K_c$ as a function of ionic strength (1/2 / 1 + Ba1/2).](image)

2.5. The effect of complexation by C4S on the rate constant for the reduction of DQ^2+

As documented in the literature, the formation of viologen complexes have shown promise as potential treatments of viologen poisoning. The toxicity of diquat arises because in the body it is enzymatically converted to the radical cation which catalyses the reduction of O₂ to O₂⁻. When diquat is ingested in high doses over a short period of time O₂⁻ is formed in a high concentration. Under these conditions it is not removed sufficiently quickly from the body and is thus able to generate substantial amounts of other reactive species of oxygen and subsequently harmful toxic radicals such as HO⁺. Cyclic voltammetry studies have shown that upon complexation by C4S the first reduction potential of DQ was significantly shifted to more negative potentials.17 Therefore, it was postulated that one of the roles played by the DQ–C4S complex in lowering DQ toxicity is that, generation of the diquat radical is made more difficult and so, less of this species is produced in the body. It is likely that complexation of the DQ by C4S will also cause the reduction of DQ to become kinetically disfavoured. In light of this, the rate constants for the reduction of DQ to the DQ radical species in the absence and presence of C4S (DQ/C4S in 1:1 mole ratio) were evaluated electrochemically using the Koutecky–Levich equation (Eq. 15).37

$\frac{1}{i_i} = \frac{1}{nFARDQ} + \frac{1.61}{nFADQ}$

In this equation, $i_i$ is the limiting current, $F$ is Faraday’s constant, $n$ is the number of electrons transferred, $A$ is the surface area of the electrode, $k_{DQ}$ is the rate constant for the electrochemical reduction of DQ^2+ to DQ^-, $c$ is the concentration of DQ, $v$ is the kinematic viscosity, $D$ is the diffusion coefficient and $\omega$ is the angular velocity. Voltammograms were recorded at different rotations rates and the limiting current was measured as a function of the rotation rate. Plots of the inverse of the limiting current as a function of the inverse of the rotation rate are shown in Fig. 9. The heterogeneous electron transfer rate constant, $k_{DQ}$ was obtained from the intercept to give values of 0.150 ± 0.010 cm s^-1 for free DQ and 0.065 ± 0.010 cm s^-1 for DQ in the presence of C4S. DQ–C4S complex. The large value of $k_{DQ}$ for free DQ is consistent with literature values on related systems, and it is well established that many bipyridinium dications/radical cation couples show fast heterogeneous electron transfer.27,38,39

The significant reduction in $k_{DQ}$ on addition of C4S is consistent with the DQ bound in an inclusion complex. A number of studies on the electrochemistry of encapsulated redox active guests indicate that the direct electron transfer from the inclusion complex does not occur. The guest must first dissociate from the host before the electron transfer step occurs and it is this step, which slows down the observed rate constant for the electron transfer step. It is likely that a similar dissociation step followed by the electron transfer step occurred for the DQ–C4S system studied here.

![Fig. 9. Koutecky–Levich plots of $i_i$ as a function of $\omega^{1/2}$ for DQ in the presence of C4S.](image)
3. Conclusions

DQ formed a 1:1 complex with C4S in solutions of high and low ionic strength, with the ionic strength having no observable influence on the stoichiometry of the complex. Large shifts in the 1H NMR signals of DQ and changes in the line widths were observed on addition of C4S, indicating the formation of a strong inclusion complex. The 1H NMR spectroscopy data also showed that for the DQ-C4S complex the C4S was held in the cone conformation. Interestingly, the fluorescence intensity of DQ was quenched upon complexation by C4S, indicating that the C4S cavity has little hydrophobic character. The DQ-C4S complexation constant, K, decreased significantly with an increase in the ionic strength of the solution. The thermodynamic constant, Kc, was computed as 5.25 ± 1.11 × 10^7 using the extended Debye–Hückel Law, indicating that the DQ-C4S complex is held together predominantly by electrostatic forces of attraction. Encapsulation of DQ by C4S kinetically hinders the electron transfer reaction to form the DQ· radical. It is likely that this effect, which will led to reducing the amount of this toxic species formed in the body, contributes to C4S known ability to detoxify toxic species formed in the body, contributes to C4S known ability to reduce the amount of this toxic species formed in the body.

4. Experimental

4.1. Materials

Sodium sulfate, Na2SO4, potassium chloride, KCl, deuterium oxide, D2O, and dequat dibromide monohydrate C12H12Br2N2·H2O (PESTA NAL™, analytical standard) were obtained from Sigma–Aldrich. p-tert-Butylcalix[4]arene, which was prepared according to the method outlined by Gutsche et al. and was converted to the p-sulfonatocalix[4]arene sodium salt using literature procedures. At the pH range of 6.7, in the present study, the p-sulfonatocalix[4]arene is penta-anionic as one phenolic group on the lower rim is deprotonated. All aqueous solutions were prepared in distilled H2O.

4.2. Instrumentation

The construction of the Job's plot was carried out using a Unicam UV-500 spectrometer. Fluorescence measurements were carried out using either a Cary Eclipse UV-500 spectrometer or a Jasco-Fluorolog fluorescence spectrometer, or a Jasco-Fluorolog fluorescence spectrometer. Fluorescence measurements were carried out using either a Cary Eclipse UV-500 spectrometer or a Jasco-Fluorolog fluorescence spectrometer. Fluorescence measurements were carried out using either a Cary Eclipse UV-500 spectrometer or a Jasco-Fluorolog fluorescence spectrometer.

4.3. Procedures

The stoichiometry of the complex formed between DQ and C4S was determined using the continuous variation or Job’s method. UV−vis spectroscopy was used to evaluate the stoichiometry of the complex through the change in absorption of DQ at 310 nm as a function of the change in mole fraction of DQ. The ionic strength of all solutions was maintained constant through the addition of Na2SO4 and was calculated using Eq. 16 in which, I is the ionic strength, c1 and c2 are the concentration and charge on the ith ion, respectively. Job’s plots were constructed at two different ionic strengths. 4.24 × 10−4 and 0.30 M (using Na2SO4) and the stoichiometry evaluated through the maximum of the bell-shaped curve. The solutions were held in a thermostated water bath at 25 °C.

\[ I = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=0}^{n} c_i z_i^2 \quad (16) \]

\[ 1 \text{H NMR spectra were recorded of DQ (4.86 mM) dissolved in a KCl solution (1–0.1 M) in D}_2\text{O as a function of adding C4S up to a DQ/C4S mole ratio of 1:5.} \]

The measure of interaction (K) between DQ and C4S was quantified by carrying out a host–guest titration using fluorescence spectroscopy, in which the guest concentration remained fixed at 1.0 × 10−5 M DQ and the host concentration was varied in the range of 0.0–5.0 × 10−5 M C4S. The excitation wavelength of DQ used in the titration was 310 nm and the emission band was monitored from 320 to 500 nm. As the ionic strength of the solution was altered upon each addition of the C4S, titrations were carried out at fixed ionic strength values (0.03, 0.09, 0.15, 0.21, 0.30, 0.60 and 1.50 M) by adding the required concentration of Na2SO4 to each solution. The solutions were held in a thermostated water bath at 25 °C.

Rotating disc voltammetry was used to determine the rate constant for the heterogeneous electron transfer for the reduction of DQ2− to DQ− in the absence and presence of C4S. This technique ensures that the diffusion of the electrochemical species to the electrode surface is controlled by convection. DQ is electrochemically active, with the reduction potential for DQ2− to DQ− occurring at approximately −0.7 V versus SCE. Voltammograms were recorded for 8.0 × 10−4 M DQ in the absence and presence of 8.0 × 10−4 M C4S, and the potential was swept from −0.1 to −1.0 V versus SCE at 50 mV s−1 at varying rotation rates at a gold working electrode. All solutions were prepared in Na2SO4 in which the ionic strength remained constant at 1.5 M.
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